Appendix Two: Action Plan 2022 - 2028

Introduction

This action plan provides a roadmap to implement a relational approach to commissioning to achieve better outcomes that matter to individuals, families and whānau.

There are five sections to the plan:

- **1. Implementation approach**: outlines the foundations that the implementation plan is based on.
- **2. Pathway to change**: explains the different components of the implementation plan and the actions we plan to take.
- **3.** How it will work: outline the approaches we plan to use to deliver change.
- **4. What change will look like for different parties to achieve outcomes**: provide examples of the potential impact the changes will have on individuals, families, whānau, communities, non-government organisations and government agencies.
- **5. Commitments:** operational enhancements to current commissioning.

1. Implementation approach

Enabling people to live the lives they value

Central to a relational approach is that everyone involved with, or affected by, social sector¹ commissioning – people, families, whānau, communities, non-government organisations, government agencies² and Ministers, work together to achieve the ultimate goal. That is, people, families and whānau are supported to live the lives they value.

Relational approaches to commissioning also recognise that everyone can complement and build on each other's contribution. To achieve our ultimate goal, we must listen to and learn from each other and agree on how we will work together – both at the cross agency / organisation level and at an individual, family or whānau level.

¹In this paper, the "social sector" is defined as: national, regional, or local government departments, Crown entities, non-government organisations, community organisations and philanthropic organisations who fund or deliver welfare, housing, health, education, child wellbeing, justice and disability support to individuals, families and whānau.

² Social sector government agencies, departmental agencies and crown entities include: Ara Poutama Aotearoa — Department of Corrections; Department of Internal Affairs (DIA); Health New Zealand (HNZ) and the Māori Health Authority; Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE); Ministry of Education (MoE); Ministry for Disabled People (MfDP); Ministry for Ethnic Communities (MEC); Ministry of Health (MoH); Te Tūāpapa Kura Kāinga – Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); Ministry of Justice (MoJ); Ministry for Pacific Peoples (MPP); Ministry of Social Development (MSD); Manatū Wāhine – Ministry for Women (MfW), Ministry of Youth Development (MYD); Oranga Tamariki — Ministry for Children (OT); Te Puni Kōkiri (TPK); New Zealand Police; Kāinga Ora (KO); Accident Compensation Corporation; and Tertiary Education Commission.

Te Tiriti o Waitangi / The Treaty of Waitangi is the starting point for transformation

Recognising and giving practical effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi / The Treaty of Waitangi is essential to achieving inter-generational wellbeing and ensuring that people, whānau, families, hapū, communities and iwi, are supported to live the lives they value.

This recognition and practical effect will be seen both within the implementation approach and in the supporting of a relational approach which will include:

- the active building and maintaining of relationships with iwi, hapū and whānau in respective regions
- iwi, hapū and whānau to create their own solutions
- equitable access, experiences, and outcomes for Māori who are involved in the social sector (the sector)
- competency in the application of Te Tiriti o Waitangi / The Treaty of Waitangi and security to engage and apply tikanga in a way that benefits the provision of support.

A relational approach to commissioning

A relational approach to commissioning places trusted, meaningful relationships at the centre to ensure activity delivers wellbeing outcomes for individuals, families, whānau and communities. Adopting a relational approach encourages new ways to fund and co-find services, empowering individuals, families, whānau and communities to self-determine how they wish to engage with services that support their aspirations and proactively making space for them to do so. The ongoing development of the Māori – Crown relationship is also supported by providing for multiple ways Māori can be at the table during the various stages of the process.

There are six key features that appear in a relational approach to commissioning:

- Grounding our work in the needs and aspirations of the people we serve.
- Entering relationships around a common set of outcomes.
- Recognising and giving practical effect to Te Triti o Waitangi / The Treaty of Waitangi.
- Agreeing how we will work to deliver these common outcomes.
- Committing to shared accountability.
- Agreeing clear roles across the commissioning process.

These six features are overlapping, intertwined and iterative, they don't have to form a linear process.

Government have adopted the relational approach to commissioning as the default way for individuals, families, whānau, communities, non-government organisations and government departments to work together.



Diagram one: A relational approach to commissioning, working together on shared goals and outcomes.

A relational approach to commissioning is not new for many people and organisations. Action 1 of the Action Plan (see table two) outlines how through engagement we will deepen our understanding of what matters and what works for a relational approach to commissioning.

Using a relational approach to implementation

The plan uses a relational approach to implementation. Representatives from individuals, families, whānau, communities, pacific peoples, iwi / hapū, non-government organisations and government agencies will be involved in the design, implementation, and stewardship of the work (see Section 3). Action plan activities will be guided by:

- The purpose of supporting people, family and whanau to live lives that they value.
- The outcome of improving the intergenerational wellbeing of people, family and whānau.
- The seven social sector commissioning principles.³
- Issues raised through engagement, review, and monitoring.

³ The seven social sector commissioning principles are: Individuals, families, whānau and communities exercise choice, Māori – Crown partnerships are at the heart of effective commissioning, The sector works together locally, regionally, and nationally, The sector is sustainable, Decisions and actions are taken transparently, The sector is always learning, Commissioning is responsive to the equity of unique and diverse populations.

Action plan roles and responsibilities

The Action Plan will support the move to a relational approach to commissioning across the social sector through:

- addressing cross-sector policy and system design issues
- supporting agencies and NGOs to adopt a relational approach to commissioning
- monitoring overall progress of the actions and impacts towards the purpose i.e., a system that supports people, family and whānau to live lives that they value.

Within government, agencies (or groups of agencies) will be accountable to the Social Wellbeing Board and Ministers for adopting a relational approach to commissioning within the scope of their responsibilities.

A Commissioning Hub will be established in the second half of 2022 and will be responsible for supporting the implementation plan. It will facilitate the work and draw on relevant expertise from across the sector. The functional role of government commissioning remains within agencies. Further details on how the Commissioning Hub will operate is detailed in the table two Action Plan and on page 10.

2. Pathway to change

Table One: Phases

Phase		Description	
1.	Growing and extending (mid 2022 – mid 2024)	This phase is focused on the vital work of facilitating new ways of working to emerge. It will raise key policy and operational questions, which will need to be worked through before progressing to the next step.	
2.	Sector-wide scale-up (2024 – 2028)	Scale-up will require several years. The social sector is large, and change is often more challenging and time consuming than expected. Achieving change will require sustained stewardship across the sector.	
3.	Normalising practice (2028 onwards)	The sector, individuals, whanau and communities will determine and make further changes to the social sector commissioning system.	

The pathway to change will occur in three phases from mid-2022 till 2028.

This phasing allows the work to respond to learnings, prioritise effort and manage capacity considerations. Project reviews are scheduled to happen in mid-2024 and 2027, which will assess whether:

- the project is ready to move onto the next phase,
- the direction of change is leading to the required outcomes, and
- if we need to refine the planned actions.

The Action Plan

In the delivery of each phase, actions are separated into three workstreams:

 Clarity and support: where common understanding and shared priorities between stakeholders are created, capability is built, and cross cutting issues are addressed.

- **Change:** where tangible changes are made to existing and new commissioning, to achieve shared outcomes and deliver what matters to individuals, families and whānau.
- **Oversight and learning:** where stakeholders ensure that progress is made, momentum is maintained, the intent of the transformation is protected, learnings are analysed and shared.

The pathway to change map on page 6 gives stakeholders an understanding of what sustained commitment could look like.

The table on page 7 sets out the action plan. The actions and activities are focused on the first phase growing and extending.

A communications and engagement plan will be created to convey the long-term vision. This plan will outline how the action plan steps, progress and key learnings are supporting the overall programme aspirations. The Policy Project, Policy Community Engagement Tool will be used to inform how we will conduct our engagements.

Diagram Two: Pathway to Change Map



6

Actions	3	Who is involved and how?	Key activities
CLARIT	Y AND SUPPORT: Creating a common und	erstanding of a relational approach to commissioning	
of wh appro indiv gove	pen Understanding: Deepen our understanding hat matters and what works for a relational oach to commissioning through engagement with riduals, families, whānau, hapū, iwi, non- ernment organisations (NGOs), government acies and communities by end of 2022.	The Commissioning Hub will conduct work with key sector partners in the second half of 2022. Government agencies, non-government organisations and Communities that currently use a relational approach share how it has been successfully applied.	 The Commissioning Hub will build on existing relationships an engage with representative individuals, families, whānau, government agencies to understand what a relational app gather examples of how a relational approach has been s will be used to develop tools and support (see Action 2). review literature and research for insights into how relations experiences of individuals, families, whānau, hapū, iwi, pugovernment organisations and government agencies. The used to build learning systems (see Action 9).
be m capal	d Capability: Develop tools and support that will nade available across the sector to support the bility improvement of a relational approach to missioning from 2023.	The Commissioning Hub, Social Wellbeing Agency, Te Puni Kōkiri, and the Ministry for Business, Innovation and Employment New Zealand Government Procurement (NZGP) in relation to their procurement responsibilities) will work together to support the capability shift needed for a relational approach to commissioning. Key sector partners will help to identify the priority capability gaps and how to address them. Tools and support will be available to all parts of the sector from 2023.	 In early 2023, the Ministry of Social Development will work w scope and scale of the capability building issues from a provid The Commissioning Hub and Ministry for Business, Innovatio creating material to share how a relational approach has mentoring / instructor led guides). in person training and coaching of how a relational approach making available tools and opportunities for sharing betw government organisations and government agencies to u approach to commissioning. supporting the sharing of ideas and experiences through supporting leaders and practitioners to understand the iss arrangements and how moving to a relational approach c creating and / or sharing existing material to improve cap relationships with communities (e.g., disability, rainbow I Where required, Government agencies, non-government orga to build capability that will change practice and commissionin
addre relati addre	ress sector wide barriers: Develop options to ess sector wide barriers to implementing a ional approach to commissioning, including to ess concerns around compliance burdens and ing models from late 2022.	The Commissioning Hub will facilitate mixed working groups of sector experts, key stakeholders, and government agencies to develop policy advice and operational guidance as needed. The shift to a relational approach will present opportunities for non-government organisations to adapt their ways of working.	 Beginning in late 2022, the following will be prioritised: Compliance burden: Identify and implement tangible opti related to contracting with multiple funders, inconsistent complex audit and assurance requirements. Funding models: understand what components form part processes; understand (or consider?) the impact of mixed approach; and consider the implications of pay equity set components. The Commissioning Hub will: listen and learn from NGOs to understand what they need presented by the system change. encourage and support agencies to capitalise on change promises burdens. assist with addressing system-wide policy issues: where the actions of one agency impact on the de housing on health and vice-versa) that arise from people, families and whānau need where the same issues affect all agencies and cor Services Act 2020 and Public Finance Act 2019).

and forums to:

- au, communities, non-government organisations and pproach should look like in their context. a successfully applied. Information from this engagement).
- ational approaches have impacted commissioning and pacific peoples, disabled NGOS, communities, non-These insights will provide critical information that will be
- with non-government organisations to understand the vider's perspective.
- tion and Employment (NZGP) will work together on:
- as been applied (e.g., videos, eLearning, coaching /
- roach can and should be applied.
- tween individuals, families, whānau, communities, nonunderstand their role and responsibility within a relational
- the establishment of Communities of Practice. issues and opportunities with current commissioning to can address them.
- apability for understanding and building ongoing v LGBTQIA+, faith, iwi/hapū, pacific peoples).
- ganisations and community leaders use tools and support ning behaviours.
- ptions to reduce compliance burdens, considering burdens nt reporting frameworks, lack of data standards and
- art of costing models and create sustainable funding ced funding models and COVID-19 flexible funding settlements and/or Fair Pay Agreements for remuneration
- eed to be able to fully engage with the opportunities
- e processes to tackle other shared issues and build quired to implement pay equity settlements, to reduce
- demand for another agency's services (e.g., the impact of
- eding to deal with a range of different agencies. communities (e.g., application of procurement rules / Public).

CHANGE: Implementing a relational approach to commissioning

4. Leverage reforms and join up across	Relevant Government agencies for major reforms include:	Changes will be delivered via the following reform program
government: Major cross government social reform programmes implement their reforms with a relational approach to commissioning including the disability transformation, health transformation, Te Aorerekura: National Strategy to Eliminate Family Violence and Sexual Violence, the Child and Youth Wellbeing Strategy and All of Government Pacific Wellbeing Strategy.	 Disability: (newly established Ministry MfDP), MSD, MoH, Health: MoH, HNZ, Māori Health Authority Te Aorerekura: Joint Venture Business Unit (JVBU), MoJ, MSD, Police, OT, MoH, MoE, TPK, ACC, Corrections Child and Youth Wellbeing: Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (DPMC), OT, MSD, MoE, MoH All of Government Pacific Wellbeing Strategy: MPP, MSD, MoH, MoE, HUD, Ministry for Culture and Heritage (MCH), OT, KO, The Treasury (TSY) 	 Disability: nationwide implementation of Enabling Good Lives what across Aotearoa. Health: meet Te Tiriti obligations and improve equity of access commissioning and co-commissioning frameworks dev Te Aorerekura: create an environment conducive to relational commission
	Many reforms require a change in approach to commissioning to achieve their objectives. These reforms will deliver tangible changes to many parts of the sector from 2022. We will capitalise on work that is underway to support, test, and deliver relational approaches to commissioning. Findings from the reform programmes implementation of relational approaches will inform changes that need to happen to the social sector commissioning system, this includes joining up across government agencies to streamline commissioning and the implementation of initiatives. Existing engagement forums that have been set up to support the major reforms will be used to inform, guide, and test the changes.	 create an environment conducive to relational commist through inclusive development of cohesive plans for f. understand, support and test efforts led by the place-and the Integrated Safety Response localities (Canterl and supporting local implementation. (see Actions 3 at Child and Youth Wellbeing Strategy: A number of initiatives under the Child and Youth Well relational approach to commissioning. This includes we integrated network of health, social services, and infor of a child's life, through a localised learning system ap years work programme. Oranga Tamariki will demonstrate new approaches to children and whānau in several locations. All of Government Pacific Wellbeing Strategy: Performance and Improvement (Vaka Moana): to meat communities through the Pacific Wellbeing Outcomes I designed Pacific wellbeing indicators and measures. E Wellbeing Outcomes Framework within the Budget 20. Capability (Te Kupega): enhance capability in the syst approaches with Pacific communities across governme Partnership and Governance (Fale Fono): embed form strengthen and connect the interface between Pacific existing networks. Pacific Values and Principle (Lalaga Potu): these form implementation approach. In addition to the work of each of the reform work program. support aligned implementation through relational cor shared goals & outcomes guidance) (see Action 2). facilitate the sharing of the successes and challenges or commissioning and what it means in practice (see Actio address system barriers by surfacing options for the S issues required sector wide resolution (see Action 3). use changes that are being implemented by the reform e.g., monitoring and reporting, funding models, reducinformation/learnings. provide a holistic view of the commissioning changes in minimise duplication and support the scale up of initia

nmes

ich will embed a relational approach to commissioning

s and outcomes for key priority groups by using the reloped by Health NZ and the Māori Health Authority

ssioning for the family violence and sexual violence sectors unding, procurement, monitoring, and evaluation based initiatives (South Auckland and Manaaki Tairawhiti) bury and Waikato) to address the barriers they experience and 6)

lbeing Strategy present opportunities to demonstrate a ork led by the Social Wellbeing Board to develop and test an rmal support for children and whānau in the first 1000 days oproach that is built on *Kahu* Taurima, the Health-lead early

enabling community leadership in meeting the needs of

asure and monitor the impact of investment in Pacific Framework, and report against it through a set of co-Explore options to utilise the All-of Government Pacific

23 process. em by strengthening cultural capability and engagement ent.

nal system leadership in Government for Pacific Wellbeing, communities and government that utilises and builds on

the foundations of the Strategy and are embedded in the

mmes, the Commissioning Hub will:

nmissioning tools and guidance (e.g., costing models,

of different reforms to adopting relational approaches to ion 9).

ocial Wellbeing Board and Ministers where cross-cutting

m programmes to test concepts and tools that are generated ting the compliance burden and the sharing of

happening across the social sector to identify opportunities, tives.

5.	Implement commitments: Social sector government agencies progressively implement the commitments to operational enhancements to current commissioning by mid-2024.	All 20 social sector government agencies and crown entities. Each government agency will be responsible for implementing and delivering commitments and improved practice. Improving agency practice will involve working closely with the NGO sector. NGOs may adapt their business practices to adapt to new ways of working. NGOs will play a role in holding agencies to account to implementing tangible changes in their practices.	 The commitments to working together with the NGO and compage 15. The Commissioning Hub will: establish working groups to address known issues e.g., compliance burden, monitoring, and reporting (see Action monitor government agency progress on implementing assess at the end of 2024 on the delivery of commitme strengthen practice. support a consistent implementation of commissioning
6.	Agency scale up plans: Government agencies submit plans to the Social Wellbeing Board on how they will embed a relational approach to commissioning in a way that is consistent with the six- year pathway of change by mid-2023.	All 20 social sector government agencies and crown entities, for investment outside the scope of major reform programmes (see Action 3). The Commissioning Hub and Treasury will support this. This will require government agencies to actively involve and work together with the NGO and community sector to create plans with shared outcomes.	 Each agency will work with their sector stakeholders, Minist Wellbeing Board by mid-2023, with a plan to move to relate These plans will identify and prioritise key opportunities to: maintain and evolve promising regional initiatives (e.g. expand the scope and scale of existing relational initiation review existing investment for alignment with a relation The Commissioning Hub will provide relevant support and ginitiatives to move to a system wide transformation. The Commissioning Hub and Treasury will look to establish thinking aligns with a relational approach to commissioning
01	VERSIGHT AND LEARNING: Tracking change an	nd maintaining momentum	
7.	Stewardship : Establish a stewardship group that reflect the individuals, families, whānau, community, non-government organisation and government agencies voice to collectively guide, promote, and protect the transformative change, by end of 2023.	Regular national forums for stewardship will be convened and supported by the Commissioning Hub throughout the implementation of the action plan. We anticipate that elements of the existing Project Board will evolve and form part of the national forums for stewardship. Regional Public Service Commissioners have the ability to convene and facilitate regional stewardship of the transformation is envisaged.	 The Commissioning Hub will work with the Regional Public S Commissioning Project Board and sector stakeholders to: confirm which existing groups are interested in support leveraged to promote and progress work, e.g., pre-exist transformation such as disability and health sectors. establish and/or expand the membership of the propose individuals, families, whānau who are receiving support agree the purpose, responsibility, and authority of each determine how stewardship groups will be supported (expanded)
8.	Commissioning Hub: Establish a Commissioning Hub to provide support guidance and facilitate change on a relational approach to commissioning from mid-2022.	To be housed for two years at the Ministry of Social Development and reviewed in 2024. It will be a dedicated team which pulls in expertise from across the sector to solve shared problems. It will provide a holistic overview and ability to take leadership and support change that is beyond the scope of any agency and system conditions that are set by central agencies that impact on local flexibility and investments that matter to whānau and communities (see page 10 for further detail). There is an opportunity to review the role of the Commissioning Hub in 2024 as part of the overall review of the Action Plan to determine what ongoing support for the Action Plan is needed.	As outlined in this Action Plan.

ommunity sectors are set out in section 5. ed on preliminary self-assessments; these are outlined on , relational approach, capability building, funding models, tions 1, 2 & 3). g the commitments. (see Action 9) ents and whether the commitments need to be revised to through tools, guidance and learning resources (Action 2). ster(s) and related agencies, to report to the Social tional commissioning by 2028. : ., South Auckland Social Wellbeing Board) tives mal approach. guidance to these plans and provide advice on coordinating n processes for Budget 2023 which ensures investment g.

Service Commissioners, existing Social Sector

ting the social sector commissioning work that could be sting forums that have been created for significant areas of

ed stewardship group to include representatives of t and, representatives from their communities n stewardship group with all members e.g., secretariat support, funding).

9. Monitoring and learning: Implement reporting metrics, monitoring arrangements, and processes for ongoing learning and information sharing about the impacts of changes on individuals, whānau, communities and non-government organisations, by the end of 2022.	The Commissioning Hub, in association with the Treasury, and the Social Wellbeing Agency. Stakeholders engaged in 2022 (Action 1) and the stewardship groups formalised (Action 7) will help ensure that the metrics chosen measure what is valued by key sector participants.	 A set of metrics for better understanding the government's introcontribution it makes to the purpose and outcome of relational approval by the Ministers of Finance and Social Development. This will also include work to develop and implement: methods for synthesising insights from individuals/whānat government agencies (e.g., via engagements, interviews, data standards and capability to strengthen insight generation of the voices of those being standards. forums for sharing key learnings from details of different is Stewardship groups, Communities of Practice). reporting on operational enhancements commitments, exist community-led changes, and progress with implementing approaches to measure impacts of the change to relationate of the set of
---	--	---

investment in social sector commissioning and the onal commissioning is proposed, to be agreed through nt by the end of 2022.

- nau, communities, non-government organisations and vs, surveys).
- eration from NGO activities.
- g supported and alignment with data sovereignty
- nt implementations of a relational approach (e.g.,
- existing sector-led changes, and cross sector and ng relational commissioning.
- onal commissioning.
- oach to build learning systems (see Action 1)
- es and relational approach are delivering transformational gi compliant and will provide better outcomes for

the estimates process. It will consider previous examples ty, Child and Youth Wellbeing Indicators

Diagram Three: When we expect the actions to be delivered:



48ylmvlx1p 2022-08-02 08:58:16

IN CONFIDENCE

3. How it will work

The Action Plan will be led by the following roles:

- **Stewardship group**: evolving from the current project board to collectively guide, promote, and protect the social sector transformative change of direction.
- **Working groups**: experts working together to achieve specified goals (including people who receive support and/or provide support).
- **Voices**: sharing the voices of those that receive support, the communities from which they belong and those that provide the support, so they are heard.
- **Commissioning Hub**: provides active support to encourage fundamental change and ongoing learning.

Stewardship group

A stewardship group will have members from all groups involved with, or affected by, social sector commissioning. To date, the programme has been guided by:

- targeted engagements with Māori providers, some iwi and hapū representatives, Pacific providers, representatives from across the sector through various existing government forums and reference groups
- engagement with people, whānau, families and community organisations through 'your voice, your data, your say' on social wellbeing and the protection and use of data
- engagement with Chief Executives of social sector government agencies and Crown entities through the Social Wellbeing Board
- the Project Board (a group of non-government organisations and government agencies to guide the Social Sector Commissioning implementation plan)
- social sector government agencies and crown entities that commission social supports and services.

The Action Plan will expand the membership of these groups to include representatives from individuals, families, whānau who are receiving support and their communities (e.g., disability, rainbow LGBTQIA+, faith, Iwi/hapū, pacific peoples, migrant) to form a national stewardship group that collectively guides, promotes, and protects the transformative change, by end of 2023. The form, purpose, responsibility, and authority of the stewardship group will be agreed with all the members and supported by the Commissioning Hub. Local stewardship groups may also be established.

The stewardship group will have a mechanism for providing advice to the Lead Minister, should it be necessary.

The iwi and Māori representatives working with the Social Sector Commissioning Work Programme propose that further kanohi ki te kanohi (face-to-face) engagement with Māori stakeholders to enable the building of connection and ownership of the strategic work and identify how the Action Plan can best support Māori. We expect that this will continue to evolve the stewardship arrangements for this work.

Where possible engagement will also continue to occur through other pre-existing forums, especially for significant areas of transformation such as in the disability and health sectors.

Working groups

Specific working groups will be established to clarify, design, and create solutions through the Social Sector Commissioning Hub. Meaningful and purposeful engagement will be required to design and implement all relational commissioning initiatives with the following:

- the people, families and communities who seek support
- the diverse communities within which the people being supported live
- iwi / hapū / Māori
- non-government organisations.

These working groups will be established to address significant cross cutting challenges with the social sector commissioning system.

- **Relational Approach:** share learnings of how to work collectively together, and then use this information to support the fundamental shift in commissioning perceptions and behaviours.
- **Capability Building:** ensure different parties in the sector have the skills and capabilities needed to participate fully in a relational approach and the new ways of working this requires.
- **Monitoring/Reporting:** confirm quantitative and qualitative metrics (e.g., value of investment, social sector insights) and define how information will be gathered and shared.
- **Funding Models:** understand what costs should form part of costing models and create fair funding estimates/processes.
- **Compliance Burden:** identify consistent and standard reporting that can be used to gather compliance data / information across government organisations and shared back to non-government organisations and communities.

Work on each of these cross-cutting issues will also support government agencies to fully implement the commitments.

Voices

Forums and reporting will be used to share the voices of people who are seeking support and those that provide support. Opportunities to strengthen voices include drawing on the new insights and perspectives which often underpin emerging and new service approaches, and translating academic findings into practical insights (e.g., using Chief Science Advisors)

These voices will help create an understanding of the changes that are required, and then the impact of changes that have been made. Processes to gather narrative will form part of the regular monitoring and reporting. A non-government organisation survey will provide a new mechanism for surfacing areas of progress, sector concerns and tracking change over time.

The Commissioning Hub

The Commissioning Hub will play an active supporting role that will encourage fundamental change and ongoing learning, including by:

Supporting the engagement and monitoring through:

• convening stewardship groups, facilitating working groups and engagement with voices;

• developing and implementing regular monitoring and reporting and overseeing a programme of evaluation of the application of relational commissioning.

Supporting the move to relational commissioning across the social sector through:

- working to align major reform programmes with a relational approach to commissioning;
- sharing implementation information and insights, identifying changes that matter, and facilitating central government changes e.g., policy, legislation, and processes;
- developing commissioning tools and guidance (in collaboration with subject matter experts and representatives from across the sector).

Addressing system-wide policy issues:

- where the actions of one agency impact on the demand for another agency's services (e.g., the impact of housing on health and vice-versa);
- that arise from people, families and whānau needing to deal with a range of different agencies;
- where NGOs and community organisations have to navigate multiple agreements or contracts across different government agencies;
- where the same issues affect all agencies and communities;
- how to support capability building across the sector.

4. Table Three: What change will look like for different parties to achieve outcomes

Individuals, families and whānau	Communities
 Increasing assets, trust, capability, leadership, and community connections. Increased authority and options to lead and drive changes including developing a relational approach The system addresses what is most important to them and supports them to achieve their aspirations Move from a recipient of service to an active partner with increased agency to live lives they value The system responds effectively to people from diverse backgrounds and communities. The lived experiences and voice are central to understanding how well the system is working and its impacts. Shift in approach, perception, behaviours that is required to work in a relational way. 	 Increasing assets, capability, and leadership. Communities support individuals, families and whānau to Shift in approach, perception, behaviours that is required Locally led commissioning emerges Communities understand what works for individuals, famil thrive Connect and collaborate across sector, non-government o communities Iwi and hapū see increasing tino rangatiratanga to solve t
Non – Government Organisations	Government Agencies
 Shift in approach, perception, behaviours that is required to work in a relational way. Non-government organisations understand what works for individuals, families, whānau and communities, and what they need to thrive. Services and supports respond to what matters to people, families, whānau and communities and their aspirations. Processes and ways of working need to enable engagement, information sharing and continuous learning which recognises and honours the authority, lived experience and knowledge of individuals, families, whānau, and communities Non-government organisations have the capability and capacity to operationalise a relational approach. Encourage conversations around new ways of working, like developing solutions to how a provider that deals with multiple government agencies could see the process being streamlined. There is increased understanding of the impact that social services have on individuals, families, whānau and communities' Funding issues and concerns are discussed with government agencies in an open and respectful way, with a recognition of the situation that government agencies are in. Contracting and reporting are streamlined. 	 Cross-government commissioning has an increasing impact families, whānau and communities, and their aspirations. The system honours the authority, lived experience, know individuals, families, whānau, communities and non-gover Government workforces spend most of their time building people, families and whānau. Learning from insights, data, and lived experiences inform Longer-term and flexible funding arrangements and accou and innovation for non-government organisations, govern Shift in approach, perception, behaviours that is required including allowing locally led commissioning that is central Funding issues and concerns are discussed with providers recognition of the situation that providers are in. The compliance burden and risk are shared fairly between Barriers to working in a relational way (e.g., regulations, p commissioners and budget processes) are addressed, mak what matters to people to engage with non-government o Contracting and reporting are streamlined.

fl		Ir	ic	h
	0	u	13	

- d to work together in a relational way.
- nilies and whānau and what they need to
- organisations, government, and
- their own problems.
- pact on what is important to people, s.
- owledge and data sovereignty of vernment organisations.
- ng intentional relationships and supporting
- rms commissioning and innovation.
- ountability promote certainty, stability
- rnment agencies and communities
- d to work together in a relational way, rally supported.
- rs in an open and respectful way, with a
- en government agencies and providers.
- , policy, contracts funded from multiple aking it easier for the system to focus on organisations and communities.

5. Table Four: Commitments: Operational enhancements to current commissioning

The commitments below are operational enhancements to current commissioning. These have been developed, in collaboration with government agencies and NGO representatives, to set expectations of good practice for government agencies. These commitments seek to improve current commissioning recognising that most of the system will remain under existing commissioning arrangements for some years. The commitments aim to remove barriers to change in the short-term, lifting standards of practice and reducing complexity.

Go	vernment agencies are expected to	Explanation
1.	Start with individuals, family and whānau: start with a focus on what matters to whānau, and this shapes investments, the design of support, delivery, assessment, and improvements.	Decision making on supports for and about individual, families, and wha reality of their daily lives, and the change that they want to see happen
2.	Enable collaborative commissioning: facilitate NGOs, individuals, family, whānau and communities to engage as partners in all parts of the commissioning process.	Agencies are to understand and support the capability and capacity of N in the commissioning process as partners.
3.	Establish shared endeavour: develop agreed ways of working together with those providing support, and their shared outcomes and goals for individuals, family and whanau.	Relationships between government agencies and NGOs are to move bey trusted, flexible and sustainable collaborations, built around shared aspi
4.	Ensure shared assurance : work with those providing support to ensure quality assurance is proportionate to risk, focuses on what matters to individuals, family and whanau, and enables shared accountability and continuous improvement.	NGOs experience the full burden of government assurance activity acros contract specification, monitoring and reporting. Often this is compound
5.	Support diversity of providers: work together with communities to ensure a diversity of support that reflects the individuals, family and whanau accessing support and their range of needs	Government agencies, communities, and NGOs regularly consider wheth shared priorities for all populations, particularly where there are entrend funding and capability support from funders and time to develop.
6.	Enable greater flexibility: learn from each other and work with individuals, family, whanau and providers to enable flexibility so that the support provided can be more innovative and responsive.	Flexibility in meeting agreed outcomes should be sought and encourage the conditions for the flexibility. Agencies are to consistently enable earl to enable NGOs input into service design.
7.	Grow commissioning capability: ensure staff involved in commissioning have the skills, knowledge, and cultural competency necessary to build and sustain trusted, meaningful relationships with NGOs and communities.	Agencies ensure the right skills and capabilities are in place to build and core of commissioning. These are different from the skills involved in tra
8.	Develop fit for purpose sourcing: use the range of sourcing approaches to facilitate innovation, collaboration and capability building, while ensuring new organisations can be involved	Government agencies understand which sourcing approach is best for a required, but competitive sourcing is not the default method.
9.	Ensure inclusive continuous improvement : engage with those providing support to assess effectiveness through inclusive and transparent continuous improvement approaches.	Effectiveness is evaluated in ways which include NGOs and informs cont what matters to individuals, family and whānau. Information shared fro performance but does not fully indicate service effectiveness.
10	Improve insight infrastructure: develop data, evidence and insights to better understand and inform government agencies, NGOs/providers and communities and improve outcomes.	Government agencies, NGOs and communities are clear on how data sh transparently. Data is shared appropriately, aligning with the Data Prote shifting to secure methods (e.g. Data Exchange).
11	. Funding models take account of the full range of costs: take a transparent and evidence-based approach to costing and pricing models to ensure they recognise the full range of costs, incentivise quality and equity; providing opportunities for those most effected to participate in the evidence gathering process.	Agencies and NGOs require reliable, robust, and real-world costing mod models need to recognise the full range of costs (labour, consumables, reflect the variability in service need across people and contexts.
12	2. Ensure clear funding scope: be clear about whether government funding purchasing a service response or contributing to a shared endeavour; sustainable resourcing is required to match the agreed scope of the service response	Where agencies are taking a service purchase approach, they must be a reasonably be considered sufficient to deliver those services. Where age NGO, the funding provided must be proportionate to the expectation of
13	B. Ensure longer-term funding: in general, funding timeframes should align with a commitment to sustainability of support, flexibility to respond to contextual change and providing greater certainty for planning purposes.	Agencies and NGOs prefer longer-term arrangements where demand is increase the potential to achieve long-term outcomes. This supports NG spent on renegotiating or varying arrangements. This does not preclude
14	Align investment: work together, and seek to involve non-government funders, to align investment to help maximise impact, and reduce duplication while enabling specialisation and diversity of support.	Funders (including non-government funders) work smarter together to shared or common goals and outcomes.
1	5. Ensure investment transparency: annually publish consistent information about government funding distributed to parties across the social sector, including how funding levels were determined.	Agencies are transparent about the use of public resources and how and on clear costing models and funding scope. Agencies progressively move

hānau is made close to them and is influenced by the en.

NGOs and communities to be involved and to engage

eyond transactional and contractual obligations to spirations. Formal agreements reflect this.

ross organisational viability and capability assurance, nded across multiple funders and service types.

ether existing service provision is sufficient to meet enched equity gaps in wellbeing. This may involve seed

ged, good relationships will help both parties negotiate arly discussions, prior to agreements being designed,

nd maintain meaningful, trusted relationships at the traditional procurement and contract management.

a commissioning process. Robust sourcing is still

ntinuous improvement approaches which reflects from agreements supports shared accountabilities and

shared will be used. Analysis and insights are shared otection and Use Policy (DPUP), and progressively

odels to determine the pricing of services. Costing s, capital, return on equity and risk management) and

e able to demonstrate that the resource provided could gencies are instead contributing to the activities of an of what that will enable the NGO to do.

is expected to grow or be stable, which can help NGOs to plan and invest over time and reduces time de dynamic funding models.

o become increasingly joined-up where there are

and why funding decisions are made. This links to work nove to a consistent data standard.

Diagram Four: Initial changes government agencies are planning on making to meet the commitments

The below diagram outlines the changes the social sector government agencies are initially planning to focus on to meet the commitments. Government agencies plan to use various methods to deliver their changes, from establishing specific projects, using existing programmes and, seeking to apply/adapt changes that have already been implemented by other agencies. The final implementation of these changes will be supported by the wider programme of work e.g., build commissioning tools and guidance (costing models, shared goals).

